

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/808,044	EKWURIBE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Leigh C. Maier	1623	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** amended

(1) Leigh C. Maier.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Jennifer Skord.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 3 November 2005

**Time:** 9:30 am

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*none*

**Claims discussed:**

*68, 87, 116, and 117*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Exr indicated that claims were essentially in order for allowance but suggested for claims 68 and 87: Either delete "oligomer" in line 2 or change it to "monomer." Ms. Skord authorized deletion of "oligomer" in both claims. Exr further noted that new claims 116 and 117 were identical. Ms Skord authorized cancellation of claim 117.